IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC.,)	
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 04-876 (GMS)
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,)	
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.)	

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA (STEPHEN M. GUREY)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant Cisco will serve the attached subpoena on Stephen M. Gurey.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Leslie A. Polizoti

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014) Leslie A. Polizoti (#4299) 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 (302) 658-9200 lpolizoti@mnat.com Attorneys for Cisco Systems, Inc.

OF COUNSEL:

Matthew D. Powers
Edward R. Reines
Jessica L. Davis
Sonal N. Mehta
Thomas King
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
(650) 802-3000

Ryan Owens WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 (212) 310-8000

February 16, 2006

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY		
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
v.	SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE	
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.	Case Number: 1 04-876 (GMS) Case Pending in United States District Court, District of Delaware	
TO: STEPHEN M. GUREY 34 Scarsdale Drive Livingston, NJ 07039 YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the testify in the above case.	United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below to	
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM	
	DATE AND TIME	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the p the above case.	lace, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in	
PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and place, date, and time specified below (list doc See Attachment "A," attached her	· ,	
PLACE Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP c/o Ryan Owens 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY	DATE AND TIME February 28, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspec	ion of the following premises at the date and time specified below.	
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME	
	penaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).	
SSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTO		
	orneys for Defendant 2/14/2006	
issumig öfficer's name address and telephone numbe Sonal Mehta Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP-201 :	Redwood Shores Pkwy, Redwood Shores, CA 94065	

⁽See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, parts C & D on reverse)

AO 88 (Rev. 11/94) Subpoena in a Civil Case		
PROOF OF SERVICE		
DATE	PLACE	
SERVED		
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE
DECLARATION OF SERVER		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the		
Proof of Service is true and correct.		
Executed on		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF SERVER
		ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D: (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to comply production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to

the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or the demanding party to contest the claim.

- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
 - (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or if the party in who behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

Page 5 of 13

ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. hereby requests that Stephen M. Gurey produce for inspection and copying the documents described below.

INSTRUCTIONS

- A. As used in these Requests, the singular shall include the plural, and the past tense shall include the present tense, and vice versa; the words "and" and "or" shall be both conjunctive and disjunctive; the word "all" shall mean "any and all;" the word "including" shall mean "including but not limited to," so as to be most inclusive.
- B. Documents produced in response to these requests should be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business or should be organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the request.
- C. If you contend that a portion of a document is subject to being withheld under a claim of privilege or immunity from production or that a portion of a document is non-responsive to the requests below, produce the entire document with any necessary redactions.
- D. If any document is withheld under a claim of privilege or immunity from production, separately identify each document for which such a privilege or immunity is claimed with the following information:
 - (a) the date of, or appearing on, the document;
 - (b) the document's author;
 - (c) the addressees of the document, if any;

- (d) the identity of each recipient of a copy of the document;
- (e) a description of the subject matter of the document;
- (f) the privilege claimed; and
- (g) the basis on which the privilege is claimed.
- If a document request is silent as to the time period for which a E. response is sought, please respond by providing all information, regardless of time, through the date of your response.

DEFINITIONS

- As used herein, "you" and "your" shall mean Stephen M. Gurey, as A. well as your present or former partners, associates, legal assistants, employees, agents, consultants, and all others acting or purporting to act on your behalf.
- "Cisco" means Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc., its predecessors В. and successors, past and present parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, and other organizational or operating units of any of the foregoing, and all past and present directors, officers, employees, agents, and representatives (including consultants and attorneys) of any of the foregoing.
- C. "Telcordia," means Plaintiff Telcordia Technologies, Inc., its predecessors and successors, including, but not limited to, Bell Communications Research, Inc. ("Bellcore"), its past and present parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, and other organizational or operating units of any of the foregoing, and all past and present directors, officers, employees, agents, and representatives (including consultants and attorneys) of any of the foregoing.

- D. "Patents-in-Suit" means U.S. Patent Nos. 4,893,306, 4,835,763, and RE 36,633 individually and collectively.
- E. "Related Patents" means any and all patents and patent applications relating to the Patents in Suit, including any patents or patent applications (including but not limited to all published, unpublished, pending, abandoned, divisional, continuation, continuation in part, or reissue applications) which share in whole or in part a common disclosure with the Patents in Suit, including but not limited to U.S. Patent No. 5,260,978.
- F. "Document" shall be interpreted to the full extent permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and includes, without limitation, e-mail, files stored on electronic media, copies of letters, notes and records of telephone conversations, intracorporate communications, minutes. bulletins. specifications. instructions. advertisements, literature, patents, patent applications, specification sheets and diagrams, work assignments, reports, memoranda, memoranda of conversations, notes, notebooks, drafts, data sheets, work sheets, contracts and agreements, memoranda of agreements, assignments, licenses, sublicenses, opinions and reports of experts and consultants, books of account, orders, invoices, statements, bills, checks and vouchers, brochures, photographs, drawings, charts, catalogs, pamphlets, magazines, copies of magazines, decals, world-wide web and/or internet postings, trade letters, notices and announcements, and press releases; and all other printed, written, recorded, taped, electronic, graphic, computerized printout or other tangible materials of whatever kind known to, or in your possession, custody, or control. A draft or nonidentical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.

- G. As used herein, "proceeding(s)" shall mean any litigation, opposition, cancellation proceeding, mediation, arbitration, interference, request for reexamination, reexamination, reissue, or other judicial or administrative proceeding.
- H. As used herein, "communications(s)" shall mean all discussions, conversation, negotiations, agreements, understandings, meetings, telephone conversations, letters, notes, memoranda, telegrams, advertisements or other forms of information sent to or received from any person or entity, whether oral, written or electronic.
- I. As used herein, "relating to" shall mean pertaining to, concerning, referring to, describing, discussing, reflecting, evidencing, constituting or resulting from the matter specified.

SPECIFIC REQUESTS

REQUEST NO. 1:

All documents and things relating to the preparation, filing or prosecution of any applications that led to any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All non-identical copies, including all drafts and drawings, of the file histories of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All documents and things relating to any communication, meeting or contact with the U.S. Patent Office or any foreign patent office relating to any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 4:

Copies of all references cited to the U.S. Patent Office or any foreign patent office during the prosecution of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 5:

All documents and things relating to any decision as to what materials to cite, or not cite, during the prosecution of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patent, including but not limited to all prior art search results.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All prior art relating to the claimed inventions of any claims of any of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 7:

All documents and things prepared by or at the direction of the named inventors of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents relating to the subject matter of any claim of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patent.

REQUEST NO. 8:

All documents and things relating to communications between the named inventors of any of the Patents-in-Suit and you or any other patent attorney(s) and/or agent(s) relating to the preparation and prosecution of the applications that led to any of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 9:

All documents and things relating to the ownership, title, transfer, assignment, or licensing (including offers of any of the above) of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patent in whole or part, alone or in conjunction with any other patents

or intellectual property, including, but not limited to, any assignment from the named inventors to Bellcore and any assignment from Bellcore to Telcordia, and any ownership interest that Telcordia or anyone else has or had in the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 10:

All documents and things relating to the identification, selection, or determination of the proper named inventors for any of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 11:

All documents and things relating to Telcordia's decision to seek patent protection for the subject matter of any claim of any of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents, including but not limited to Telcordia's decision to seek a reissue patent for the subject matter disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,260,978.

REQUEST NO. 12:

All documents and things relating to any pre-filing communication of the subject matter of any claim of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patent to any person or entity outside of Telcordia.

REQUEST NO. 13:

All documents concerning your file maintenance and document retention policies and practices, including but not limited to any such documents concerning retention, storage, maintenance, organization, filing, or destruction of documents received from or sent to any of the named inventors of any of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 14:

All documents evidencing and otherwise concerning the conception or reduction to practice of the inventions claimed in the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 15:

All documents concerning each mode, including, but not limited to, the best mode, of practicing the subject matter of any claim of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents contemplated by any of the named inventors at or around the time of filing any patent applications that led to or resulted in any of the Patents-in-Suit.

REQUEST NO. 16:

All documents concerning representations made by third parties to you, the named inventors, or Telcordia regarding the validity, enforceability, scope or infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

REQUEST NO. 17:

All documents concerning the patentability, validity, enforceability, scope, or infringement of the Patents-in-suit or Related Patents, including any evaluation made by or on behalf of you or Telcordia concerning the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 18:

All documents and things relating to any communications, including but not limited to any representations made by Telcordia or any of the named inventors, regarding the patentability validity, enforceability, scope or infringement of any of the Patents-in-Suit or any Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 19:

All documents concerning any opinions of counsel concerning the patentability, validity, enforceability, scope or infringement of the any of Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 20:

All communications between you and any law firm, patent agent or patent attorney concerning any of the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 21:

All communications between you and anyone at Telcordia concerning the Patents-in-Suit or Related Patents.

REQUEST NO. 22:

All documents that you provided to Telcordia, or anyone else, concerning this case.

REQUEST NO. 23:

All documents and things relating to Civil Action No. 98-586 (D. Del.) between Telcordia and Fore Systems, Inc. (also known as Marconi Communications, Inc.), including all documents that you provided to Telcordia or anyone else concerning that case.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 16, 2006 I electronically filed the foregoing NOTICE OF SERVICE with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to Steven J. Balick and John G. Day.

I further certify that I caused to be served copies of the foregoing document on February 16, 2006 upon the following in the manner indicated:

BY HAND

John G. Day ASHBY & GEDDES 222 Delaware Avenue Wilmington, DE 19801

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Don O. Burley FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

John Day (jday@ashby-geddes.com)

John Williamson (john.williamson@finnegan.com)

York Faulkner (york.faulkner@finnegan.com)

Don Burley (don.burley@finnegan.com)

/s/ Leslie A. Polizoti (#4299)

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT AND TUNNELL LLP 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 658-9200 lpolizoti@mnat.com